UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,806	06/25/2006	Jose Maria Isenser Farre	460582.000007	1705
⁷⁰⁴¹⁶ Nixon Peabody	7590 06/29/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
200 Page Mill F		YEUNG, MANG HANG		
Suite 200 Palo Alto, CA 9	94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	oplication No.	plication No. Applicant(s)				
		10	0/596,806		ISENSER FARRE ET AL.			
		Ex	caminer		Art Unit			
			ANG YEUNG		2416			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	s on the cover si	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN OF THE	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COM In no event, however only and will expire SIX see the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be tim (6) MONTHS from the ecome ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 June	2006					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
—		<i>,</i> —		al matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requireme	ent.				
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminor						
-	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 June 200</u>		accepted or h)F	Tobjected to I	hy the Evaminer			
10)23			•	=	-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic 2) □ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr			4)	erview Summary per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa ner:	(PTO-413) te			

Art Unit: 2416

DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant application having Application **No. 10/596806** filed on **06/25/2006** is presented for examination by the examiner.

Claim Objections

2. **Claims 1, 4** are objected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding <u>claim 1</u>, the phrase "<u>adapts to</u>" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the steps recited after the phrase "<u>adapts to</u>" be optional steps or necessary steps for the claim invention.

Regarding <u>claim 4</u>, the word "<u>screams</u>" which appears to be a misspelling of the word "<u>streams</u>".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims <u>1 - 5</u> are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S. 112, second paragraph.

Claims <u>1 - 5</u> are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device.

Art Unit: 2416

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

<u>Claim 2</u> recites the limitations "the transaction", "the processor" and "the peripherals". There are insufficient antecedent basics for these limitations in the claim.

In addition, regarding <u>claim 2</u>, the phrases "<u>may</u> be originated by the processor" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Thus, it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue (US Patent Publication # 2003/0005441 A1).

As per <u>claim 1</u>, Inoue discloses "Circuit integrated in a receiver system for digital television networks, characterized by the fact that it can process the flow

Art Unit: 2416

of data from one or several MPEG data streams with different functions so that it adapts to receiver applications and to multimedia information servers (video, audio and data)" as [(fig. 1 and par. 0031 - 0035), satellite television receiver.]

As per <u>claim 2</u>, Inoue discloses "The circuit that constitutes this invention" as [(See rejection of claim 1)]

Inoue discloses "is characterized by its versatility since it incorporates a single internal bus structure" as [(fig. 1), single bus] "in which the transactions may be originated by the processor embedded into the circuit or by the peripherals" [(fig. 1 and par. 0035), CPU 17.]

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Calder et al. (US Patent # 5872784).

As per <u>claim 4</u>, Calder discloses "The invention is characterized by a specific switching function between two or more conditional access systems Even though it has been described for the case of 2 conditional accesses over 2 independent

Art Unit: 2416

data streams, the current claim can be extrapolated to any number of conditional accesses and data screams" [(fig. 1 and col. 5 – lines 4 to 7), MPEG streams 110 including a video stream 112, an audio stream 114, and a data stream 116. These three streams are then processed by conditional access subsystem 118.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue (US Patent Publication # 2003/0005441 A1) in view of Yoshioka (US Patent # 7079583 B2).

As per <u>claim 3</u>, Inoue discloses "In addition, the circuit that constitutes this invention" as [(See rejection of claim 2)]

Inoue does not expressly discloses "is characterized by the fact that it incorporates subsystems with routing and processing functions implemented by hardware and software, and used as modules that comprise the possible circuit configurations".

However, Yoshioka discloses "is characterized by the fact that it incorporates subsystems with routing and processing functions implemented by hardware and

Application/Control Number: 10/596,806

Art Unit: 2416

software, and used as modules that comprise the possible circuit configurations" as [(fig. 4 and col. 11 – lines 40 to 41), the routine processing unit 1004.]

Page 6

Inoue (US Patent Publication # 2003/0005441 A1) and Yoshioka (US Patent # 7079583 B2) are analogous art because they are the same field of endeavor of network communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Yoshioka's teaching into Inoue's teaching. The motivation for making the above modification would be to improve a media processing apparatus which can efficiently execute a huge amount of processing. (Yoshioka, col. 2 – lines 29 - 51)

Claim <u>5</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calder et al. (US Patent # 5872784) in view of Bigham et al. (US patent # 5544161).

As per <u>claim 5</u>, Calder discloses "The invention is characterized by the fact that is it easily configurable, allowing at least the following functionalities:" as [(fig. 1), digital television and digital television receiver.] "1 - Processing of two input data streams to two output data streams;" [(fig. 1), input streams 112, and 114/output streams 124 and 125] "2 - Processing of one input data stream (ITSINB) and one internally-synthesized data stream from the processor (PROC) to two output data streams;" [(fig. 1), MPEG 2 stream 106/streams 112 and 114] "3 - Processing of one input data stream (ITSINB), and one data stream from a local

Art Unit: 2416

network access (ILAN), to two output data streams" [(fig. 1), input streams 112, and 114/output streams 124 and 125.]

Calder does not expressly disclose "4 - Processing of two data streams, both from a local network connection (ILAN), to two output data streams; and 5 - Processing of two input data streams (ITSINA and ITSINB) to a local network concentrator/multiplexor".

However, Bigham discloses "4 - Processing of two data streams, both from a local network connection (ILAN), to two output data streams;" as [(col. 29 – lines 10 to 12), The transport MUX 762 multiplexes the input MPEG streams to one of five output 27 MB/s transport streams.] "and 5 - Processing of two input data streams (ITSINA and ITSINB) to a local network concentrator/multiplexor" [(col. 29 – lines 10 to 12),The transport MUX 762 multiplexes the input MPEG streams to one of five output 27 MB/s transport streams.]

Calder et al. (US Patent # 5872784) and Bigham et al. (US patent # 5544161) are analogous art because they are the same field of endeavor of network communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Bigham's teaching into Calder's teaching. The motivation for making the above modification would be to improve the flexibility, efficiency of a signaling communication system. (Bigham, col. 7 – lines 34 - 47)

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/596,806

Art Unit: 2416

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MANG YEUNG whose telephone number is (571)270-7319. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Th (9:00am to 5:00pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derrick W. Ferris can be reached on 571 272 3123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M.Y./

/Derrick W Ferris/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416